1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JOHN TENNISON,	No. C-04-0574 CW (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE JOINT LETTER OF JUNE
10	v.	27, 2005 (Docket No. 262)
11	CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et	
12	al., Defendants.	
ornia 813	/	
t Calife		
For the Northern District of California 2 4 9 5 4 5 8	The parties filed a joint letter on June 27, 2005, the purported purpose of which was to notify the Court of the results of their meet and confer regarding Mr. Tennison's 30(b)(6) deposition(s) of the City. In the joint letter, however, Mr. Tennison raises new issues beyond the scope of the motion	
16		
North		
ੂ 18	to compel he originally filed. The Court notes that this is not the first time that a party in this case	
19	has sought to bring an issue to the Court's attention beyond the scope of a noticed motion (or joint	
20	letter), and the Court warns the parties, in particular, counsel for Mr. Tennison, Ethan Balogh, that it	
21	will not tolerate such conduct in the future.	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

Accordingly, the Court hereby orders the parties to further meet and confer *in person* regarding the issues raised by Mr. Tennison in the June 27 letter. If, after the meet and confer, there are disputes still remaining, then the parties shall file a joint letter regarding the remaining disputes by July 5, 2005, at 4:00 p.m. The Court shall hold a hearing on any remaining disputes on July 7, 2005, at 3:00 p.m. The issues raised by Mr. Tennison in the June 27 letter need to be addressed on an expedited basis as Judge Wilken will be hearing the summary judgment motions on August 12, 2005.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 28, 2005

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge